University of Utah

Fall 2020, MUSC 2110 Music Theory III Section 1

Instructor: DAHN, LUKE (Primary)

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH®

There were: 19 possible respondents.

1 nere	There were: 19 possible respondents.															
	Question Text	N	RR	Avg	MUSC Avg	MUSC F20	Div Avg	Div F20	Sch Avg	Sch F20						
Grp	Course Questions			5.61	5.38		5.34	6	5.17	5.58						
Grp	Instructor Questions (DAHN)			5.8	5.44		5.41	6	5.30	5.69						
											Str Disagree	Disagree	Mild Disagree	Mild Agree	Agree	Str Agree
1	Objectives clearly stated	7	37%	5.71	5.43		5.37	6	5.26	5.65					29% (2)	71% (5)
2	Objectives met	7	37%	5.86	5.42		5.37	6	5.23	5.63					14% (1)	86% (6)
3	Content well-organized	7	37%	5.43	5.36		5.28	6	5.14	5.58				14% (1)	29% (2)	57% (4)
4	Course materials helpful	7	37%	5.43	5.38		5.32	6	5.13	5.56				14% (1)	29% (2)	57% (4)
5	Assignments & exams covered the course	7	37%	5.86	5.45		5.41	6	5.20	5.53					14% (1)	86% (6)
6	Learned great deal	7	37%	5.43	5.29		5.28	6	5.13	5.57				29% (2)		71% (5)
7	Overall effective course	7	37%	5.57	5.32		5.30	6	5.12	5.57				14% (1)	14% (1)	71% (5)
9	Text effectiveness	7	37%	5.71	5.10		5.10		4.90						29% (2)	71% (5)
10	Grading policy was clear	7	37%	5.71	5.43		5.43		5.36						29% (2)	71% (5)
11	Instructor was organized (DAHN)	7	37%	5.57	5.40		5.35	6	5.23	5.66				14% (1)	14% (1)	71% (5)
12	Instructor presented effectively (DAHN)	7	37%	5.86	5.39		5.34	6	5.15	5.59					14% (1)	86% (6)
13	Instructor created respectful environment (DAHN)	7	37%	5.86	5.47		5.45	6	5.37	5.73					14% (1)	86% (6)
14	Demonstrated thorough knowledge (DAHN)	7	37%	6	5.60		5.56	6	5.45	5.81						100% (7)
15	Instructor encouraged questions/ opinions (DAHN)	7	37%	5.71	5.41		5.44	6	5.36	5.71					29% (2)	71% (5)

16	Instructor available for student consultation (DAHN)	7	37%	5.71	5.40	5.42	6	5.33	5.66		29% (2)	71% (5)
17	Overall effective instructor (DAHN)	7	37%	5.86	5.43	5.41	6	5.25	5.69		14% (1)	86% (6)
19	Class met appropriate number of times (DAHN)	7	37%	5.86	5.58	5.58		5.58			14% (1)	86% (6)

Instructor	Text Responses
	Question: Comments on course effectiveness
	I really enjoyed this class! The homework assignments were challenging, but they helped me learn a lot!
	The materials and course content lined up with the homework we worked on, so I was able to learn effectively. I also liked that we were able to meet every Friday to go over the homework, that was really helpful.
	I would appreciate more instructional videos.
	Dr. Dahn provided many ways for us to learn, including textbook readings as well as videos to ensure we understood the material.
	I wish this class moved faster, but theres probably not much to be done about that. I appreciated how closely we followed the text; especially with an online class in covid world, it really helped that the text and lectures reinforced and supported each other so much.
	I thought that the class followed the material very well. It was clear and very well planned. One thing I wished was an outline for each week stated beforehand.
	Question: Instructor Comments
DAHN	I really liked the way the class was set up. The zoom classes on Wednesday and Friday were really helpful. The reading assignments helped encourage me to do the reading and the instructor was really great at providing constructive criticism.
DAHN	Very informative classes, presented neatly and understandably.
DAHN	Dr. Dahn made himself readily available for his students. He was willing to answer emails and schedule zoom sessions to go over questions I had. Dr. Dahn was very understanding of these extenuating circumstances and helped out his students a lot.
DAHN	The instructor made it very clear what would be happening and how the course would run.
DAHN	Dr. Dahn is incredibly knowledgeable, analytical, and creative about Western music theory. This allowed him to provide us with helpful, thorough, and clear ways of looking at harmony.
DAHN	Dr. Dahn was available every step of the way, and was more than willing to meet one on one at any time to help us when we needed it.

University of Utah

Fall 2020, MUSC 2110 Music Theory III Section 4

Instructor: DAHN, LUKE (Primary)



There were: 13 possible respondents.

There	e were: 13 possible respon	naen	is.													
	Question Text	N	RR	Avg	MUSC Avg	MUSC F20	Div Avg	Div F20	Sch Avg	Sch F20						
Grp	Course Questions			6	5.38		5.34	6	5.17	5.58						
Grp	Instructor Questions (DAHN)			6	5.44		5.41	6	5.30	5.69						
											Str Disagree	Disagree	Mild Disagree	Mild Agree	Agree	Str Agree
1	Objectives clearly stated	8	62%	6	5.43		5.37	6	5.26	5.65						100% (8)
2	Objectives met	8	62%	6	5.42		5.37	6	5.23	5.63						100% (8)
3	Content well-organized	8	62%	6	5.36		5.28	6	5.14	5.58						100% (8)
4	Course materials helpful	8	62%	6	5.38		5.32	6	5.13	5.56						100% (8)
5	Assignments & exams covered the course	8	62%	6	5.45		5.41	6	5.20	5.53						100% (8)
6	Learned great deal	8	62%	6	5.29		5.28	6	5.13	5.57						100% (8)
7	Overall effective course	8	62%	6	5.32		5.30	6	5.12	5.57						100% (8)
9	Text effectiveness	8	62%	6	5.10		5.10		4.90							100% (8)
10	Grading policy was clear	8	62%	6	5.43		5.43		5.36							100% (8)
11	Instructor was organized (DAHN)	8	62%	6	5.40		5.35	6	5.23	5.66						100% (8)
12	Instructor presented effectively (DAHN)	8	62%	6	5.39		5.34	6	5.15	5.59						100% (8)
13	Instructor created respectful environment (DAHN)	8	62%	6	5.47		5.45	6	5.37	5.73						100% (8)
14	Demonstrated thorough knowledge (DAHN)	8	62%	6	5.60		5.56	6	5.45	5.81						100% (8)
15	Instructor encouraged questions/ opinions (DAHN)	8	62%	6	5.41		5.44	6	5.36	5.71						100% (8)

16	Instructor available for student consultation (DAHN)	8	62%	6	5.40	5.42	6	5.33	5.66		100% (8)
17	Overall effective instructor (DAHN)	8	62%	6	5.43	5.41	6	5.25	5.69		100% (8)
19	Class met appropriate number of times (DAHN)	8	62%	6	5.58	5.58		5.58			100% (8)

Instructor	Text Responses
	Question: Comments on course effectiveness
	Optional class on Friday, dedicated to questions. Wednesday class was tailored to the needs of the class based on how well they understood the reading.
	I was very grateful for the Friday homework sessions. We could ask questions and work through problems as a class which helped a lot with this online semester. I still felt like I was able to engage and communicate easily with the instructor despite the class being online thanks to the zoom sessions.
	Instructor did a good job with online Zoom transition.
	I liked that one class per week was devoted to answering our questions about the reading that we submitted the day before. Doing the reading and then being able to discuss it in class was really helpful, and a great way to help each member of the class get personalized help with what we needed. I also liked that we went over the weeks homework assignment in class together on Fridays. It helped the homework assignments feel more like the practice problems they are, rather than something stressful I needed to get done for a grade. I felt like I learned a lot and got good practice from the homework. I really appreciated that the way the class was set up seemed to be geared toward ensuring students understanding of the material.
	Question: Instructor Comments
DAHN	Very clear instruction. Sincere and easy to work with.
DAHN	You always selected great examples from literature to explain our topics. It was nice to see additional examples in context instead of just having the examples from the book.
DAHN	Instructor was personable and kind. Instructor did a good job at working with the current online environment and transmitting the necessary knowledge for learning.
DAHN	The instructor was very organized and methodical in the way he presented the material, and was good at explaining things in a clear, understandable way. I loved this class!

University of Utah

Fall 2020, MUSC 6551 Adv Formal Proc II Section 1

Instructor: DAHN, LUKE (Primary)

OF UTAH®

There were: 14 possible respondents.

There	There were: 14 possible respondents.															
	Question Text	N	RR	Avg	MUSC Avg	MUSC F20	Div Avg	Div F20	Sch Avg	Sch F20						
Grp	Course Questions			5.61	5.38		5.34	6	5.17	5.58						
Grp	Instructor Questions (DAHN)			5.63	5.44		5.41	6	5.30	5.69						
											Str Disagree	Disagree	Mild Disagree	Mild Agree	Agree	Str Agree
1	Objectives clearly stated	7	50%	5.71	5.43		5.37	6	5.26	5.65					29% (2)	71% (5)
2	Objectives met	7	50%	5.57	5.42		5.37	6	5.23	5.63					43% (3)	57% (4)
3	Content well-organized	7	50%	5.57	5.36		5.28	6	5.14	5.58					43% (3)	57% (4)
4	Course materials helpful	7	50%	5.71	5.38		5.32	6	5.13	5.56					29% (2)	71% (5)
5	Assignments & exams covered the course	7	50%	5.71	5.45		5.41	6	5.20	5.53					29% (2)	71% (5)
6	Learned great deal	7	50%	5.43	5.29		5.28	6	5.13	5.57				14% (1)	29% (2)	57% (4)
7	Overall effective course	7	50%	5.57	5.32		5.30	6	5.12	5.57					43% (3)	57% (4)
9	Text effectiveness	7	50%	5.57	5.10		5.10		4.90					14% (1)	14% (1)	71% (5)
10	Grading policy was clear	7	50%	5.71	5.43		5.43		5.36						29% (2)	71% (5)
11	Instructor was organized (DAHN)	7	50%	5.29	5.40		5.35	6	5.23	5.66				14% (1)	43% (3)	43% (3)
12	Instructor presented effectively (DAHN)	7	50%	5.57	5.39		5.34	6	5.15	5.59					43% (3)	57% (4)
13	Instructor created respectful environment (DAHN)	7	50%	5.71	5.47		5.45	6	5.37	5.73					29% (2)	71% (5)
14	Demonstrated thorough knowledge (DAHN)	7	50%	5.71	5.60		5.56	6	5.45	5.81					29% (2)	71% (5)
15	Instructor encouraged questions/ opinions (DAHN)	7	50%	5.86	5.41		5.44	6	5.36	5.71					14% (1)	86% (6)

16	Instructor available for student consultation (DAHN)	7	50%	5.71	5.40	5.42	6	5.33	5.66		29% (2)	71% (5)
17	Overall effective instructor (DAHN)	7	50%	5.57	5.43	5.41	6	5.25	5.69		43% (3)	57% (4)
19	Class met appropriate number of times (DAHN)	7	50%	5.71	5.58	5.58		5.58			29% (2)	71% (5)

Instructor	Text Responses
	Question: Comments on course effectiveness
	I appreciated the written questions regarding the readings; they helped direct focus in often long, dense journal articles. Classroom discussion could have been more guided.
	The materials chosen to read were interesting. The method for reviewing what was read was valuable.
	1. The open discussion is good 2. All materials are prepared so well and easy to use
	Dr. Dahn is a great professor who really cares about his students and is very interested in making sure we understand the content and material we study. He takes his time to listen and really understand any questions we may have and his relaxed manner makes his teaching really helpful and approachable.
	Question: Instructor Comments
DAHN	Dr. Dahns flexibility was appropriate for the way the semester was conducted. I do think the discourse of seminars dropped off a little when written assignments ceased.
DAHN	Dr. Dahn was always very encouraging of participation. He treated all with kindness.
DAHN	Overall, I greatly enjoyed the course and the instructor. It would be helpful if we could have had feedback/commentary on the weekly assignments (as was done with the first 1-2 weeks of the course). I realize these assignments became less of in a written form as the semester progressed, but having the instructors feedback on our written responses to the discussion/analysis questions could be helpful. Similarly, it could also have been helpful to have feedback as we were preparing the final papers (or perhaps a brief note based on what was presented in the presentations) to ensure that students are "on the right track," so to speak. Otherwise, a very interesting and enjoyable course!
DAHN	1. The professor is friendly to different understandings/ opinions. 2. The professor offers choices as for articles to read.