

There were: 17 possible respondents.


	Question Text	N	RR	Avg	MUSC Avg	MUSC F19	Div Avg	Div F19	Sch Avg	Sch F19						
											Str Disagree	Disagree	Mild Disagree	Mild Agree	Agree	Str Agree
Grp	Course Questions			5.51	5.38	5.21	5.32	5.34	5.17	5.21						
Grp	Instructor Questions (DAHN)			5.94	5.44	5.26	5.41	5.46	5.30	5.38						
1	The course objectives were clearly stated.	7	41%	5.43	5.43	5.27	5.37	5.38	5.24	5.32			14% (1)		14% (1)	71% (5)
2	The course objectives were met.	7	41%	5.71	5.43	5.29	5.36	5.39	5.22	5.29					29% (2)	71% (5)
3	The course content was well organized.	7	41%	5.29	5.36	5.20	5.28	5.27	5.12	5.16				14% (1)	43% (3)	43% (3)
4	The course materials were helpful in meeting course objectives.	7	41%	5.86	5.39	5.19	5.31	5.32	5.12	5.16					14% (1)	86% (6)
5	Assignments and exams reflected what was covered in the course.	7	41%	6	5.46	5.28	5.39	5.42	5.19	5.24						100% (7)
6	I learned a great deal in this course.	7	41%	5.14	5.30	5.11	5.27	5.28	5.12	5.14	14% (1)				14% (1)	71% (5)
7	Overall, this was an effective course.	7	41%	5.14	5.32	5.13	5.29	5.31	5.11	5.13	14% (1)				14% (1)	71% (5)
9	The texts were effective for the purpose of this course.	7	41%	4.57	5.12	4.96	5.12	4.96	4.88	4.94	14% (1)		14% (1)	14% (1)		57% (4)
10	The grading policy was clear and appropriate for the course.	7	41%	6	5.43	5.27	5.43	5.27	5.36	5.31						100% (7)
11	The instructor was organized. (DAHN)	7	41%	5.57	5.40	5.26	5.33	5.38	5.23	5.30				14% (1)	14% (1)	71% (5)
12	The instructor presented course content effectively. (DAHN)	7	41%	6	5.39	5.23	5.33	5.37	5.14	5.21						100% (7)

13	The instructor created/supported a classroom environment that was respectful. (DAHN)	7	41%	6	5.46	5.34	5.46	5.51	5.37	5.46							100% (7)
14	The instructor demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject. (DAHN)	7	41%	6	5.60	5.45	5.54	5.61	5.44	5.53							100% (7)
15	The instructor encouraged student opinions and participation. (DAHN)	7	41%	6	5.41	5.22	5.43	5.46	5.35	5.42							100% (7)
16	The instructor was available for consultation with students. (DAHN)	7	41%	6	5.40	5.27	5.40	5.44	5.31	5.4							100% (7)
17	Overall, this was an effective instructor. (DAHN)	7	41%	6	5.43	5.27	5.40	5.44	5.24	5.31							100% (7)
19	Instructor met the class the appropriate number of times throughout the semester. (DAHN)	7	41%	6	5.59	5.39	5.59	5.39	5.59	5.39							100% (7)

Instructor	Text Responses
	Question: Comments on course effectiveness
	Very effective material and also wonderfully taught. The class was incredibly organized
	The course content was clearly stated in the syllabus, but the schedule wasn't followed very closely, and it wasn't always clear when we were deviating. That's not a problem except that it made reading due dates unclear.
	Objectives were clear. This material seems so fundamental to how we understand a lot of western classical music that I would almost expect it to come earlier in the major, especially for composition and theory students.
	I think it could be helpful to have a vocabulary list at the beginning of each form we study, rather than a fill in the blank paper.
	The anthology seems useless when most of the pieces were still handed out in class. I don't get why we had to buy an anthology with so many pieces when we only actually used a couple works in it. Most of the material was redundant and a rehashing of previous theory classes.
	Dr. Dahn taught a lot of different forms this semester in great detail. In addition to the basic structure of large-scale forms, I also learned about variations to classical forms, exceptions, and theoretical markers to look for while doing analysis. Overall a very effective course, and Dr. Dahn did a wonderful job leading it. The only gripe I have is that only ~10% of the course anthology was used, and Dr. Dahn usually gave out physical copies of the score regardless. It seems a bit of waste to have to buy the course anthology.
	Question: Instructor Comments
DAHN	It was nice that Prof. Dahn actually made everything so clear. It all was presented in a way that made sense

DAHN	Dr. Dahn is very approachable and knowledgeable, making him an ideal instructor. He presents material clearly and makes minor adjustments in expectations and course direction when necessary.
DAHN	Dr. Dahn has a clear passion for music and theory that I thought made the content exciting. The practice worksheets we did in class helped me feel prepared for quizzes and midterms. I also was grateful for his effective use of Canvas.
DAHN	Dr. Dahn does a lot of hands-on learning with analysis, but the flow of the semester did not match the syllabus and he often fell behind on keeping electronic records up to date with physical records. Obviously he is not required to maintain electronic records, but it would help with organization if students had access to electronic copies of class material. Aside from minor organizational issues, this was a great class and I learned a great deal about different classical forms. Dr. Dahn also encouraged discussion as much as possible, and students were often asked for their opinion regarding new forms in order to establish a baseline for learning.
DAHN	Prof. Dahn demonstrates thorough knowledge and his lectures were very interesting. It was hard to stay engaged because the majority of the time was just him talking, and not always about the subject. But I learned a lot from his insights and enjoyed attending class.

Question Averages



Fall 2019, MUSC 4500 Senior Composition Section 7

Instructor: DAHN, LUKE (Primary)

There were: 5 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	RR	Avg	MUSC Avg	MUSC F19	Div Avg	Div F19	Sch Avg	Sch F19						
											Str Disagree	Disagree	Mild Disagree	Mild Agree	Agree	Str Agree
Grp	Course Questions			5.71	5.38	5.21	5.32	5.34	5.17	5.21						
Grp	Instructor Questions (DAHN)			5.71	5.44	5.26	5.41	5.46	5.30	5.38						
1	Objectives clearly stated	2	40%	5	5.43	5.27	5.37	5.38	5.24	5.32				50% (1)		50% (1)
2	Objectives met	2	40%	5.5	5.43	5.29	5.36	5.39	5.22	5.29					50% (1)	50% (1)
3	Content well-organized	2	40%	6	5.36	5.20	5.28	5.27	5.12	5.16						100% (2)
4	Course materials helpful	2	40%	6	5.39	5.19	5.31	5.32	5.12	5.16						100% (2)
5	Assignments & exams covered the course	2	40%	5.5	5.46	5.28	5.39	5.42	5.19	5.24					50% (1)	50% (1)
6	Learned great deal	2	40%	6	5.30	5.11	5.27	5.28	5.12	5.14						100% (2)
7	Overall effective course	2	40%	6	5.32	5.13	5.29	5.31	5.11	5.13						100% (2)
9	Grading policy was clear	2	40%	5	5.43	5.27	5.43	5.27	5.36	5.31				50% (1)		50% (1)
10	Instructor was organized (DAHN)	2	40%	5.5	5.40	5.26	5.33	5.38	5.23	5.30					50% (1)	50% (1)
11	Instructor presented effectively (DAHN)	2	40%	5.5	5.39	5.23	5.33	5.37	5.14	5.21					50% (1)	50% (1)
12	Instructor created respectful environment (DAHN)	2	40%	6	5.46	5.34	5.46	5.51	5.37	5.46						100% (2)
13	Demonstrated thorough knowledge (DAHN)	2	40%	5.5	5.60	5.45	5.54	5.61	5.44	5.53					50% (1)	50% (1)
14	Instructor encouraged questions/ opinions (DAHN)	2	40%	6	5.41	5.22	5.43	5.46	5.35	5.42						100% (2)
15	Instructor available for student consultation (DAHN)	2	40%	6	5.40	5.27	5.40	5.44	5.31	5.4						100% (2)

16	Overall effective instructor (DAHN)	2	40%	5.5	5.43	5.27	5.40	5.44	5.24	5.31					50% (1)	50% (1)
18	Class met appropriate number of times (DAHN)	2	40%	5.5	5.59	5.39	5.59	5.39	5.59	5.39					50% (1)	50% (1)

Instructor	Text Responses
	Question: Comments on course effectiveness
	Not sure what the course objectives are specifically for Composition lessons, but I feel like Dr. Dahn did a great job of establishing goals to work towards during the semester. Not sure what the grading policy was for the course, but I assume it is based off lesson attendance and preparation going into them. It's somewhat ambiguous where attending Composition Area recitals/concerts fits into this, and was confusing this semester since we would often get little to no notice on which ones we were required to attend.
	Question: Instructor Comments
DAHN	I loved studying with Dr. Dahn, he's a great mentor and teacher and was very helpful with my composition projects. He was a great resource for feedback, and was always willing to help me see things from a different perspective.

Fall 2019, MUSC 6500 Survey of Theory Section 1

Instructor: DAHN, LUKE (Primary)

There were: 11 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	RR	Avg	MUSC Avg	MUSC F19	Div Avg	Div F19	Sch Avg	Sch F19						
											Str Disagree	Disagree	Mild Disagree	Mild Agree	Agree	Str Agree
Grp	Course Questions			5.29	5.38	5.21	5.32	5.34	5.17	5.21						
Grp	Instructor Questions (DAHN)			5.57	5.44	5.26	5.41	5.46	5.30	5.38						
1	Objectives clearly stated	3	27%	5.33	5.43	5.27	5.37	5.38	5.24	5.32					67% (2)	33% (1)
2	Objectives met	3	27%	5.33	5.43	5.29	5.36	5.39	5.22	5.29					67% (2)	33% (1)
3	Content well-organized	3	27%	5.33	5.36	5.20	5.28	5.27	5.12	5.16					67% (2)	33% (1)
4	Course materials helpful	3	27%	5.33	5.39	5.19	5.31	5.32	5.12	5.16					67% (2)	33% (1)
5	Assignments & exams covered the course	3	27%	5.33	5.46	5.28	5.39	5.42	5.19	5.24					67% (2)	33% (1)
6	Learned great deal	3	27%	5	5.30	5.11	5.27	5.28	5.12	5.14				33% (1)	33% (1)	33% (1)
7	Overall effective course	3	27%	5.33	5.32	5.13	5.29	5.31	5.11	5.13					67% (2)	33% (1)
9	Text effectiveness	3	27%	5.33	5.12	4.96	5.12	4.96	4.88	4.94					67% (2)	33% (1)
10	Grading policy was clear	3	27%	5.33	5.43	5.27	5.43	5.27	5.36	5.31					67% (2)	33% (1)
11	Instructor was organized (DAHN)	3	27%	5.67	5.40	5.26	5.33	5.38	5.23	5.30					33% (1)	67% (2)
12	Instructor presented effectively (DAHN)	3	27%	5.33	5.39	5.23	5.33	5.37	5.14	5.21					67% (2)	33% (1)
13	Instructor created respectful environment (DAHN)	3	27%	5.33	5.46	5.34	5.46	5.51	5.37	5.46					67% (2)	33% (1)
14	Demonstrated thorough knowledge (DAHN)	3	27%	6	5.60	5.45	5.54	5.61	5.44	5.53						100% (3)
15	Instructor encouraged questions/ opinions (DAHN)	3	27%	5.67	5.41	5.22	5.43	5.46	5.35	5.42					33% (1)	67% (2)

16	Instructor available for student consultation (DAHN)	3	27%	5.33	5.40	5.27	5.40	5.44	5.31	5.4					67% (2)	33% (1)
17	Overall effective instructor (DAHN)	3	27%	5.67	5.43	5.27	5.40	5.44	5.24	5.31					33% (1)	67% (2)
19	Class met appropriate number of times (DAHN)	3	27%	6	5.59	5.39	5.59	5.39	5.59	5.39						100% (3)

Instructor	Text Responses
	Question: Comments on course effectiveness
	Doctor Dahn is one of the best teachers that I have had at the U. His lessons are very well organized and presented in an interesting and applicable way. He is extremely talented as a musician and has so much knowledge that he shares with his students. He is also very supportive and treats everyone with great respect and cares for your success. He is always available to help anyone. Absolutely one of my favorite classes at the U, so glad I took it from him!
	Lots of musical examples, Great piano playing by the professor.
	Question: Instructor Comments
DAHN	Doctor Dahn is one of the best teachers that I have had at the U. His lessons are very well organized and presented in an interesting and applicable way. He is extremely talented as a musician and has so much knowledge that he shares with his students. He is also very supportive and treats everyone with great respect and cares for your success. He is always available to help anyone. Absolutely one of my favorite classes at the U, so glad I took it from him!
DAHN	Diversity in the explanations, Good management of the course content