



There were: 14 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	RR	Avg	MUSC Avg	MUSC F18	Div Avg	Div F18	Sch Avg	Sch F18						
											Str Disagr	Disagr	Mild Disagr	Mild Agree	Agree	Str Agree
Grp	Instructor Questions (DAHN)			5.82	5.44	5.45	5.41	5.51	5.29	5.37						
Grp	Course Questions			5.93	5.38	5.42	5.32	5.38	5.15	5.21						
1	Objectives clearly stated	4	29%	5.75	5.43	5.50	5.36	5.44	5.24	5.33					25% (1)	75% (3)
2	Objectives met	4	29%	6	5.41	5.50	5.36	5.44	5.21	5.28						100% (4)
3	Content well-organized	4	29%	5.75	5.36	5.40	5.28	5.32	5.12	5.16					25% (1)	75% (3)
4	Course materials helpful	4	29%	6	5.38	5.43	5.31	5.37	5.11	5.16						100% (4)
5	Assignments & exams covered the course	4	29%	6	5.45	5.47	5.39	5.44	5.18	5.25						100% (4)
6	Learned great deal	4	29%	6	5.29	5.29	5.27	5.31	5.11	5.14						100% (4)
7	Overall effective course	4	29%	6	5.32	5.31	5.29	5.31	5.10	5.13						100% (4)
9	Text effectiveness	4	29%	5.75	5.12	5.11	5.12	5.11	4.88	4.97					25% (1)	75% (3)
10	Grading policy was clear	4	29%	6	5.45	5.39	5.45	5.39	5.36	5.34						100% (4)
11	Instructor was organized (DAHN)	4	29%	5.75	5.40	5.45	5.33	5.43	5.22	5.29					25% (1)	75% (3)
12	Instructor presented effectively (DAHN)	4	29%	6	5.39	5.43	5.33	5.41	5.14	5.19						100% (4)
13	Instructor created respectful environment (DAHN)	4	29%	5.75	5.46	5.50	5.43	5.55	5.35	5.47					25% (1)	75% (3)
14	Demonstrated thorough knowledge (DAHN)	4	29%	6	5.60	5.64	5.54	5.63	5.44	5.52						100% (4)
15	Instructor encouraged questions/ opinions (DAHN)	4	29%	5.75	5.41	5.34	5.43	5.49	5.35	5.42					25% (1)	75% (3)
16	Instructor available for student consultation (DAHN)	4	29%	5.75	5.40	5.39	5.40	5.48	5.31	5.39					25% (1)	75% (3)

17	Overall effective instructor (DAHN)	4	29%	5.75	5.43	5.44	5.40	5.47	5.24	5.30					25% (1)	75% (3)
19	Class met appropriate number of times (DAHN)	4	29%	6	5.59	5.64	5.59	5.64	5.59	5.64						100% (4)

Instructor	Text Responses
	<b>Question: Comments on course effectiveness</b>
	A lot of class discussion and Professor was great at answering questions.
	Organized and good materials to study.
	<b>Question: Instructor Comments</b>
DAHN	He was very knowledgeable. My only criticism is I would have liked more homework that had us apply what was being taught.
DAHN	He really knows the subject, he is clear in presenting the material



There were: 17 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	RR	Avg	MUSC Avg	MUSC F18	Div Avg	Div F18	Sch Avg	Sch F18						
											Str Disagr	Disagr	Mild Disagr	Mild Agree	Agree	Str Agree
Grp	Instructor Questions (DAHN)			6	5.44	5.45	5.41	5.51	5.29	5.37						
Grp	Course Questions			5.93	5.38	5.42	5.32	5.38	5.15	5.21						
1	Objectives clearly stated	4	24%	6	5.43	5.50	5.36	5.44	5.24	5.33						100% (4)
2	Objectives met	4	24%	6	5.41	5.50	5.36	5.44	5.21	5.28						100% (4)
3	Content well-organized	4	24%	6	5.36	5.40	5.28	5.32	5.12	5.16						100% (4)
4	Course materials helpful	4	24%	6	5.38	5.43	5.31	5.37	5.11	5.16						100% (4)
5	Assignments & exams covered the course	4	24%	6	5.45	5.47	5.39	5.44	5.18	5.25						100% (4)
6	Learned great deal	4	24%	5.75	5.29	5.29	5.27	5.31	5.11	5.14					25% (1)	75% (3)
7	Overall effective course	4	24%	5.75	5.32	5.31	5.29	5.31	5.10	5.13					25% (1)	75% (3)
9	Text effectiveness	4	24%	6	5.12	5.11	5.12	5.11	4.88	4.97						100% (4)
10	Grading policy was clear	4	24%	6	5.45	5.39	5.45	5.39	5.36	5.34						100% (4)
11	Instructor was organized (DAHN)	4	24%	6	5.40	5.45	5.33	5.43	5.22	5.29						100% (4)
12	Instructor presented effectively (DAHN)	4	24%	6	5.39	5.43	5.33	5.41	5.14	5.19						100% (4)
13	Instructor created respectful environment (DAHN)	4	24%	6	5.46	5.50	5.43	5.55	5.35	5.47						100% (4)
14	Demonstrated thorough knowledge (DAHN)	4	24%	6	5.60	5.64	5.54	5.63	5.44	5.52						100% (4)
15	Instructor encouraged questions/ opinions (DAHN)	4	24%	6	5.41	5.34	5.43	5.49	5.35	5.42						100% (4)
16	Instructor available for student consultation (DAHN)	4	24%	6	5.40	5.39	5.40	5.48	5.31	5.39						100% (4)

17	Overall effective instructor (DAHN)	4	24%	6	5.43	5.44	5.40	5.47	5.24	5.30							100% (4)
19	Class met appropriate number of times (DAHN)	4	24%	6	5.59	5.64	5.59	5.64	5.59	5.64							100% (4)

Instructor	Text Responses
	<b>Question: Comments on course effectiveness</b>
	the pace was extremely appropriate for the material, and was explained extremely well.
	Great organization Quizzes and tests were useful in measuring learning
	<b>Question: Instructor Comments</b>
DAHN	Would often explain things even more in depth if any questions were asked. He also gave material that more advanced learners could get something good out of it as well as those struggling with the concepts.
DAHN	Luke is an excellent professor when it comes to music theory. I've taken theory 1, 2, and 3 with him and he is very capable and effective.
DAHN	Clear teaching Great help available for students



There were: 14 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	RR	Avg	MUSC Avg	MUSC F18	Div Avg	Div F18	Sch Avg	Sch F18						
											Str Disagr	Disagr	Mild Disagr	Mild Agree	Agree	Str Agree
Grp	Instructor Questions (DAHN)			5.86	5.44	5.45	5.41	5.51	5.29	5.37						
Grp	Course Questions			5.8	5.38	5.42	5.32	5.38	5.15	5.21						
1	Objectives clearly stated	5	36%	5.6	5.43	5.50	5.36	5.44	5.24	5.33					40% (2)	60% (3)
2	Objectives met	5	36%	5.8	5.41	5.50	5.36	5.44	5.21	5.28					20% (1)	80% (4)
3	Content well-organized	5	36%	5.8	5.36	5.40	5.28	5.32	5.12	5.16					20% (1)	80% (4)
4	Course materials helpful	5	36%	6	5.38	5.43	5.31	5.37	5.11	5.16						100% (5)
5	Assignments & exams covered the course	5	36%	5.8	5.45	5.47	5.39	5.44	5.18	5.25					20% (1)	80% (4)
6	Learned great deal	5	36%	5.8	5.29	5.29	5.27	5.31	5.11	5.14					20% (1)	80% (4)
7	Overall effective course	5	36%	5.8	5.32	5.31	5.29	5.31	5.10	5.13					20% (1)	80% (4)
9	Text effectiveness	5	36%	5.8	5.12	5.11	5.12	5.11	4.88	4.97					20% (1)	80% (4)
10	Grading policy was clear	5	36%	5.4	5.45	5.39	5.45	5.39	5.36	5.34					60% (3)	40% (2)
11	Instructor was organized (DAHN)	5	36%	5.6	5.40	5.45	5.33	5.43	5.22	5.29					40% (2)	60% (3)
12	Instructor presented effectively (DAHN)	5	36%	5.8	5.39	5.43	5.33	5.41	5.14	5.19					20% (1)	80% (4)
13	Instructor created respectful environment (DAHN)	5	36%	5.8	5.46	5.50	5.43	5.55	5.35	5.47					20% (1)	80% (4)
14	Demonstrated thorough knowledge (DAHN)	5	36%	6	5.60	5.64	5.54	5.63	5.44	5.52						100% (5)
15	Instructor encouraged questions/ opinions (DAHN)	5	36%	5.8	5.41	5.34	5.43	5.49	5.35	5.42					20% (1)	80% (4)
16	Instructor available for student consultation (DAHN)	5	36%	6	5.40	5.39	5.40	5.48	5.31	5.39						100% (5)

17	Overall effective instructor (DAHN)	5	36%	6	5.43	5.44	5.40	5.47	5.24	5.30						100% (5)
19	Class met appropriate number of times (DAHN)	5	36%	5.8	5.59	5.64	5.59	5.64	5.59	5.64					20% (1)	80% (4)

Instructor	Text Responses
	<b>Question: Comments on course effectiveness</b>
	The take-home test was really helpful. I have difficulty with tests and it was nice to be able to take things home and think about them.
	-the professor was willing to meet individually on term project -finally learned scale degrees needed to build augmented 6th chords so I better understand them now
	The Laitz text is concise and clear and Dr. Dahn did a fantastic job of conveying complex topics clearly and quickly. He made the course challenging but not overwhelming.
	<b>Question: Instructor Comments</b>
DAHN	It was really useful to be able to go over assignments in class. It really helped me to be able to figure things out.
DAHN	Dr. Dahn is very patient to explain the theory. He provided many useful handouts and sorted out the key point from the textbook. That helps me a lot! Thanks !
DAHN	See above
DAHN	-he was very positive and patient -he was willing to answer every question, no matter how silly