

## Faculty Review Committee Report Formal Review

Evaluation and Recommendation Regarding Reappointment or Promotion of Career-line, Adjunct, and Visiting Faculty

Faculty member being evaluated
Date of evaluation
Members of DRC Committee preparing this Report
Category of faculty member being evaluated:
Career-line (select one: Clinical or Lecturer) Adjunct Visiting
Rank of faculty member being evaluated:
Instructor Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor
Contents of the File (check all that apply) -CV
-Syllabi for courses taught since appointment or since last reappointment review or initial appointment. -Personal Statement
-Student course evaluations since appointment or last reappointment review
-Summary of employment responsibilities as outlined in contract (teaching load, service expectations, and/or research expectations)
-Prior Informal Review Reports, Review Committee Reports, and candidate responses since the last Formal Review or initial appointment. -Other
Recommendation:
(Note: if the recommendation is for <i>Reappointment with plan for improvement</i> , then the reappointment recommendation should be for a 1-year appointment rather than a multi-year appointment.)
Reappointment Reappointment with plan for improvement Non-reappointment
Recommendation regarding reappointment:
1 year 2 years 3 years 4 years 5 years
Recommendation regarding Promotion (if applicable):
Yes (Promote) No
<u>Written Report</u> : This short report should: 1) summarize the evidence considered; 2) state how the evidence considered satisfies or fails to satisfy the applicable standard; 3) make

recommendations for rating the candidate in all applicable areas of evaluation (e.g., Excellent,

Very Good, Effective, or Not Effective); and 4) give the reason for the committee's recommendations. Include a discussion of any particularly strong elements of the file, as well as any areas that might need attention from the candidate, the Department Chair/School Director, or the Department/School as a whole. The written comments should make clear how and why particular elements of the file support the recommendation. (Generally ½ to 1 page of written comments are sufficient.)

fi Jon Holto